

The United States of America
The State of Texas
The County of Dallas

June 19th, A.D. 2009

Registered Mail Number: _____

From: Alfred Adask a/k/a "ALFRED ADASK"
c/o 2921 Robin Hill Lane
The City of Garland
The County of Dallas
The State of Texas
The United States of America

To: IRS Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service
Post Office Box 78714-9338
The City of Austin
The County of Travis
The State of Texas
The United States of America

Greetings from The United States of America,

This Letter of Inquiry is primarily a series of questions in response to the unsigned Notice CP59 dated "APR. 20, 2009" and sent to "ALFRED ADASK" by the "IRS Sender" as defined herein.

OUTLINE OF INQUIRIES

A. DEFINITIONS	page 2
B. PURPOSE FOR MY INQUIRY	page 4
C. PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS	page 5
D. MY RIGHT OF INQUIRY	page 5
E. SILENCE EQUATES WITH FRAUD	page 7
F. 18 U.S.C. 1001	page 8
G. MAIL FRAUD, (Including the "Intangible right to Honest Services")	page 10

H. RICO	page 14
I. GENERAL INQUIRY	page 14
J. ACCOUNT UNCERTAINTY	page 16
K. FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP UNCERTAINTY	page 17
L. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST UNCERTAINTY	page 18
M. NOTICE SENDER UNCERTAINTY	page 22
N. NOTICE RECIPIENT UNCERTAINTY	page 24
O. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS	page 27

A. DEFINITIONS

In order to clarify and simplify some of the questions presented in this Letter of Inquiry, I use the following terms with the following definitions:

Alfred Adask: The proper name of a living man created (as per *Genesis* 1: 26-28) in the image of our Father YHWH Elohiym, endowed by his Creator with certain unalienable Rights (as per *The Unanimous Declaration of the thirteen united States of America* adopted on July 4th, A.D. 1776), one of the people of The State of Texas, and beneficiary of The Constitution of The State of Texas, who—unless expressly declared in his verified writing to the contrary—acts always in relation to Notice CP59 (as defined herein) **at arm’s length** and upon the earth within the physical borders and jurisdiction of a State of the Union (as defined herein).

ALFRED ADASK: A term used by the IRS Sender (as defined herein) whose intended meaning is unknown to me as of the date of drafting this Letter of Inquiry—but might signify an estate, account, security or legal fiction *other than* the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask” or which might be an improper name used as an alias to signify “Alfred Adask” as herein defined. **Unless and until I am corrected by the IRS Sender, I will define “ALFRED ADASK” to be a mere alias for my true and proper name “Alfred Adask”**

Government Of The United States: [N.B. the word “Government” is *capitalized*] currently described at <http://www.manta.com/company/mmg5dxg> (based on information from Dunn & Bradstreet) as having the following characteristics: Contact Phone “(202) 224-3121; URL www.firstgov.gov; Business Category “United States Federal Government in Washington, DC”; Industry (SIC) “General Government, NEC”; Business Information “This company profiles is for the private company Government of the United States headquarters, located in Washington, DC. U S Government’s line of business is united states federal government.”; **Company Name** “Government Of The United States”; Address “The U S Capitol, Washington, DC 20515-0001; Alt Business Name “U S Government”; Location Type “Headquarters”; Est. # of Employees [as of April 11th, A.D. 2009] “2,717,080”; Est. Empl. At Loc “3” [as of April 11th, A.D. 2009]; Year Started “1787”; State of Incorp “no entry”;

Contact's Name "Barack H Obama"; Contact's Title "President"; NAICS "Other General Government Support"? (See also, <http://www.manta.com/mb?search=Government+Of+The+United+States>)

government of the United States: [N.B. the word "government" is *not* capitalized.] the de jure national government created by The Constitution of The United States first established by the people of the several United States in or about A.D. 1789.

I, me, my, mine, myself: pronouns and adjective that refer to "Alfred Adask" as herein defined.

IRS: A term of uncertain meaning that may signify any one of scores of distinct entities. I.e., if the Dunn & Bradstreet data base of corporations is searched for "Internal Revenue Service," the result at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&bu sName=Internal+Revenue+Service&state=US&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb*home*gws*lookup#goTop includes at least 99 corporate entities operating under as, or associated with, the names "I.R.S." and/or "Internal Revenue Service".

IRS Sender: the person or entity of uncertain identity with a return address at Zip Code 78714-9338 that is responsible for sending Notice CP59.

Notice CP59: The collection of notices and envelopes dated "APR. 20, 2009" that were sent by the IRS sender to "ALFRED ADASK 2921 ROBIN HM LANE GARLAND TX 75044" and are factually insufficient for me to form a response without further inquiry. (See, copy attached.)

several United States: the several sovereign-States that comprise the perpetual Union (as herein defined) first created by the Articles of Confederation in or about A.D. 1781, which are implicitly referenced in the 13th Amendment to The Constitution of the United States and of which there currently no more than 50 members.

singular United States: the singular jurisdiction seen in the 14th Amendment to The Constitution of the United States.

The Constitution of The State of Texas: the express charitable trust adopted by and for the people of The State of Texas on or about February, 15th, A.D. 1876.

The Constitution of the United States: the express charitable trust first established by the people of the several United States in or about A.D. 1789 and as subsequently amended by said people.

The City of Garland: a political subdivision of The State of Texas.

The County of Dallas: a political subdivision of The State of Texas.

The State of Texas: a member-State of the perpetual Union styled "The United States of America" which was most recently readmitted to that Union by the Act of March 30th, A.D. 1870.

The United States of America: the perpetual Union of States first established by the Articles of Confederation in or about A.D. 1781.

Union: The United States of America as defined herein.

B. PURPOSE FOR MY INQUIRIES

On or about April 20th, A.D. 2009, my landlord retrieved an envelope from the mail box located outside his domicile at 2921 Robin Hill Lane, The City of Garland, The County of Dallas, The State of Texas, The United States of America, that he thought might be addressed to me. Said envelope was from an “IRS Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service”; was addressed to an “ALFRED ADASK”; and contained an Notice CP59. Believing said envelope and notice *might* be intended for me—the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask”—my landlord later brought the Notice CP59 to me.

The purpose of this document is to exercise my “right of inquiry” to resolve all uncertain meanings and/or ambiguities contained or implied by Notice CP59 and learn:

- 1) all **material facts** that were expressed or implied by Notice CP59;
- 2) all **relevant facts** that were express or implied by Notice CP59;
- 3) if I am deemed by any party to Notice CP59 to have an **interest** in the matter of CP59;
- 4) if I am deemed any party to Notice CP59 to **represent** some other entity that has an interest in the matter of CP59;
- 5) who or what is the **real party in interest** in the matter of Notice CP59;
- 6) in what **capacity** the real party in interest acts in the matter of Notice CP59.
- 7) whether any party to Notice CP59 alleges or presumes that I have voluntarily consented to serve as a **fiduciary** for any party to Notice CP59;
- 8) whether the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 and/or the Notice CP59’s purported real party in interest have evidence that I have voluntarily consented to serve as their fiduciary.
- 9) whether Notice CP59 implicates an **action of account** at **common law**, in **equity** or under **administrative law**.

I present all of the following questions in this Letter of Inquiry in response to said Notice CP59 for the purpose of obtaining sufficient notice on which to base my subsequent response to Notice CP59.

C. PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS

1. Do you understand that, consistent with the Due Process clauses of the 5th and/or 14th Amendments to The Constitution of the United States established by the people in or about A.D. 1789, procedural due process is the minimum due process that must be provided by government and/or governmental agencies whenever a significant deprivation of life, liberty or property may occur? Yes ___ or No ___
2. Is the “IRS” which sent Notice CP59 obligated to provide procedural due process in the matter of Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___
3. Do you understand that procedural due process includes 1) Notice; and 2) Opportunity to be heard? Yes ___ or No ___
4. Do you understand that by refusing to provide sufficient Notice to a notice recipient, the “IRS” that sent Notice CP59 may have violated that recipient’s right to procedural due process? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Do you understand that by refusing to provide sufficient notice to a notice recipient, the “IRS” that sent Notice CP59 will thereby preclude any process from lawfully proceeding to the “opportunity to be heard” (hearing)? Yes ___ or No ___.
6. Do you understand that Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361 “Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty,” declares, “The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff”? Yes ___ No ___.

D. MY RIGHT OF INQUIRY

1. Do you understand that, as illustrated by cases such as Twitchell v. Nelson, 131 Minn. 375, 155 N. W. 621, 62.4 ; German-American Nat. Bank of Lincoln v. Martin, 277 Ill. 629, 115 N. E. 721, 729; Commercial Credit Corporation v. Coover, 101 N. J. Law, 530, 129 A. 187, 138; United States v. Booth-Kelly Lumber Co. (D. C.) 246 F. 970, 972, knowledge of facts which would naturally lead an honest and prudent person to make inquiry constitutes “notice” of everything which such inquiry pursued in good faith would disclose? Yes ___ No ___
2. Do you understand that I, Alfred Adask, am an honest and prudent man? Yes ___ No ___.

3. Do you understand that—as illustrated by cases such as Picklesimer v. Smith, 164 Ga. 600, 139 S. E. 72, 74 ; Brinkman v. Jones, 44 Wis. 498 ; White v. Fisher, 77 Ind. 65, 40 Am. Rep. 287; Clark v. Lambert, 55 W. Va. 512, 47 S. E. 312 ; Hopkins v. McCarthy, 121 Me. 27, 115 A. 513, 515 ; Rector v. Wildrick, 5.9 Okl. 172, 158 P. 610, 613 ; and Citizens' State Bank of Greenup v. Johnson County, 182 Ky. 531, 207 S. W. 8, 11—the term “express notice” includes all knowledge of a degree above that which depends upon collateral inference, or which imposes upon the party the further duty of inquiry and in this sense, actual notice is such notice as is positively proved to have been given to a party directly and personally, or such as he is presumed to have received personally because the evidence within his knowledge was sufficient to put him upon inquiry? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Is Notice CP59 an “express notice”? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Is Notice CP59 an “actual notice”? Yes ___ No ___.
6. Do you understand that Notice CP59 has put me, Alfred Adask, “on inquiry”? Yes ___ No ___
7. Do you understand that—as illustrated by cases such as Baltimore v. Whittington, 78 Md. 231, 27 A. 984 ; Wells v. Sheerer, 78 Ala. 142 ; Jordan v. Pollock, 14 Ga. 145 ; Jackson v. Waldstein (Tex. Civ. App.) 27 S. W. 26 ; Acer v. Westcott, 46 N. Y. 384, 7 Am. Rep. 355 ; Charles v. Roxana Petroleum Corporation (C. C. A.) 282 F. 983, 988; City of Dallas v. Rutledge (Tex. Civ. App.) 258 S. W. 534, 538—“constructive notice” is information or knowledge of a fact imputed by law to a person, (although he may not actually have it) because he could have discovered the fact by proper diligence, and his situation was such as to cast upon him the duty of inquiring into it? Yes ___ or No ___
8. Was Notice CP59 intended by the “IRS” sender to provide me, Alfred Adask, with a “constructive notice”? Yes ___ No ___.
9. Do you understand (as illustrated by the case law previously referenced) that by sending a notice, the sender creates the notice recipient’s right of inquiry and the notice sender’s correlative duty to answer the recipient’s questions? Yes ___ or No ___
10. Do you understand that by sending Notice CP59 to “ALFRED ADASK,” that the “IRS” sender and/or its officials, employees and/or agents created: 1) “ALFRED ADASK’s” right to inquire into the all material facts alleged or implied in said Notices; and 2) the correlative duty of the “IRS” to answer “ALFRED ADASK’s” inquiries? Yes ___ or No ___
11. Do you understand that if this “IRS” sender and/or its officials, employees and representatives failed or refused to answer “ALFRED ADASK’s” inquiries into material facts alleged and/or implied in said Notice CP59 that said sender would violate “ALFRED ADASK’s” right to procedural due process? Yes ___ or No ___
12. Do you understand that by sending Notice CP59, that this sender “IRS,” its officials, employees and/or agents created: 1) the intended recipient’s right to inquire into the all arguments and underlying premises alleged or implied in said Notice; and 2) a correlative duty in the Notice CP59 sender to answer my inquiries? Yes ___ or No ___
13. Do you understand that my questions in this Letter of Inquiry are based, in part, on the ambiguity of the address

on Notice CP59 and my resulting uncertainty as to whether Notice CP59 was intended to be received by me, Alfred Adask, a living man acting **at arm's length** or by me, Alfred Adask, a living man, acting as **fiduciary** for an entity named "ALFRED ADASK"? Yes ___ No ___.

14. Do you understand that if the IRS Sender intended its Notice CP59 to be received by me acting **at arm's length** that I have a "right of inquiry" concerning the matters referenced in Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
15. Do you understand that if this IRS Sender intended its Notice CP59 to be received by me acting as fiduciary for "ALFRED ADASK," that I may have a duty of due diligence to inquire into all matters referenced or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
16. Do you understand that if 1) this IRS Sender intended its Notice CP59 to be received by me—Alfred Adask, a living man who had voluntarily consented to serve as fiduciary or other representative for "ALFRED ADASK"; and 2) I had, in fact, voluntarily consented to serve as fiduciary or other representative for "ALFRED ADASK"—I would have a "duty of inquiry" concerning the matters referenced in Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
17. Do you understand that subsequent notice of your answers to this series of questions may create additional cause for me to exercise my right and/or duty of inquiry and for you to perform your duty to answer my questions? Yes ___ or No ___
18. Do you understand that each new notice sent by an IRS Sender to me will create: 1) additional cause for me to exercise my right and/or duty of inquiry; and 2) additional correlative obligation for the I.R.S., its officials, employees and/or agents to perform its duty to answer my questions? Yes ___ or No ___
19. Do you believe that my right of inquiry is an right of "discovery" based on an action of account between myself and the real party in interest wherein I am presumed to act as **fiduciary**? Yes ___ No ___.
20. Do you believe that my right of inquiry is based on a right of discovery in equity? Yes ___ No ___

E. SILENCE EQUATES WITH FRAUD

1. Do you understand that consistent with the cases U. S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299 (1977), and U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032 (1970) (see also Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932 (1906))that "silence can only be equated with fraud when there is a duty to speak"? Yes ___ or No ___
2. Do you understand that by sending Notice CP59, you have created the recipient's right of inquiry and this "IRS" sender's and/or its officials', employees', agents' and agencies' correlative duty to answer those questions posed by the Notice recipient? Yes ___ or No ___
3. Do you understand that by failing to answer my questions listed in this document posed in response to your Notice CP59, your silence may subject you to charges of fraud? Yes ___ or No ___.

4. Do you understand that because this communication began and is being continued by means of the U.S. mail, this “IRS” sender’s failure to answer my questions listed in this document may subject the IRS Sender to charges of mail fraud? Yes ___ No ___

F. 18 U.S.C. 1001 (“Statement of entries generally”)

1. Do you understand that subsection “(a)” of 18 U.S.C. 1001 (“Statements or entries generally”) declares:

“(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—
“(1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;
“(2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or
“(3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;
“shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both”? Yes ___ or No ___

2. Does this IRS Sender operate under the authority of the government of the United States created by The Constitution of the United States first established by the people in or about A.D. 1789? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Does this IRS Sender operate under the authority of the “Government Of The United States” currently reported by Dunn & Bradstreet and Manta.com at <http://www.manta.com/company/mmg5dxg> to be a “private company” whose “Year Started” was “1787” and whose address is “The US Capitol, Washington, DC 20515-0001”? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1001(a), is any matter referenced in Notice CP59 subject to the jurisdiction of the **executive** branch of the Government of the United States? Yes ___ or No ___
5. Consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1001(a), is any matter referenced in Notice CP59 subject to the jurisdiction of the **legislative** branch of the Government of the United States? Yes ___ or No ___
6. Consistent with subsection 18 U.S.C. 1001(a), is any matter referenced in Notice CP59 subject to the jurisdiction of the **judicial** branch of the Government of the United States? Yes ___ or No ___
7. Consistent with subsection 18 U.S.C. 1001(a), is the **nature** of the person “ALFRED ADASK” addressed in Notice CP59 a material fact? Yes ___ or No ___

8. Is the nature of the “ALFRED ADASK” addressed in Notice CP59 that of a living man who, as per *Genesis* 1:26-28, is made in the image of our Father YHWH Elohiym? Yes ___ or No ___
9. Is the nature of the “ALFRED ADASK” addressed in Notice CP59 that of a man who—as per *The unanimous Declaration of the thirteen united States of America* (a/k/a “Declaration of Independence”) adopted on or about July 4th, A. D. 1776—is endowed by his Creator with certain unalienable Rights? Yes ___ or No ___
10. Is the citizenship of the “ALFRED ADASK” a material fact relative to your Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___.
11. Is my citizenship a material fact relative to your Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
12. Is the name “ALFRED ADASK” referenced in your Notice CP59 merely an **alias** for a man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask”? Yes ___ or No ___
13. Consistent with subsection 18 U.S.C. 1001(a), is the identity of the “ALFRED ADASK” referenced in your Notice CP59 a material fact? Yes ___ or No ___
14. Do you understand that subsection “(b)” of 18 U.S.C. 1001 (“Statements or entries generally”) declares:
- “(b) Subsection (a) does not apply to a **party** to a **judicial proceeding**, or that party's counsel, for statements, representations, writings or documents submitted by such party or counsel to a judge or magistrate in that proceeding”? Yes ___ or No ___
15. Is this IRS Sender a “party to a judicial proceeding” in the matter referenced in your Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___
16. Are officials, employees or agents of the IRS Sender acting as “counsel” for a “party” in the matter referenced in Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___
17. Is the “ALFRED ADASK” a “party” to the matters referenced in your Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___
18. Am I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask,” deemed by the IRS Sender to be a “party” to the matters reference in Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___
19. Am I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask,” deemed by the IRS Sender to be a counsel for the party “ALFRED ADASK” in the matters referenced in your Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___
20. Do you understand that subsection “(c)” of 18 U.S.C. 1001 (“Statements or entries generally”) declares:

“(c) With respect to any matter within the jurisdiction of the **legislative** branch, subsection (a) shall apply only to--

“(1) **administrative** matters, including a **claim for payment**, a matter related to the procurement of property or services, personnel or **employment** practices, or support services, or a document required by law, rule, or regulation to be submitted to the Congress or any office or officer within the legislative branch; or

“(2) any **investigation** or review, conducted pursuant to the authority of any committee, subcommittee, commission or office of the Congress, consistent with applicable rules of the House or Senate”?

Yes ___ or No ___

21. Are the material facts referenced or implied in said Notice CP59 within the jurisdiction of the **legislative** branch as defined at Article 1, Section 8, Clause 1, through Article 1, Section 8, Clause 16, of the Constitution of The United States first established by the People of the several United States in or about A. D. 1789? Yes ___ No ___

22. Are the matters referenced in your Notice CP59 within the jurisdiction of the legislative branch as defined at Article 1, Section 8, Clauses 17, of The Constitution of the United States? Yes ___ or No ___

23. Are the matters referenced in Notice CP59 within the jurisdiction of the legislative branch as defined at Article 4, Section 3, Clause 2, of The Constitution of the United States ? Yes ___ No ___.

24. Consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1001(c)(1), does Notice CP59 refer to “administrative matters, including a claim for payment, a matter related to the procurement of property or services, personnel or employment practices, or support services”? Yes ___ or No ___

25. Consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1001(c)(2), are the matters referenced in Notice CP59 based on an “investigation or review, conducted pursuant to the authority of any committee, subcommittee, commission or office of the Congress, consistent with applicable rules of the House or Senate”? Yes ___ or No ___

G. MAIL FRAUD 18 USC 1341-1350

1. Do you understand that Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1341 (“Frauds and swindles”) declares: “Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any **scheme or artifice to defraud**, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious

coin, obligation, security, or other article, or anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such counterfeit or spurious article, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice or attempting so to do, **places in any post office or authorized depository for mail matter**, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the **Postal Service**, or deposits or causes to be deposited any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by any private or commercial interstate carrier, or takes or receives therefrom, any such matter or thing, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail or such carrier according to the direction thereon, or at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, any such matter or thing, shall be **fin**ed under this title or **imprisoned** not more than **20 years**, or both. If the violation affects a financial institution, such person shall be fined not more than **\$1,000,000** or imprisoned not more than 30 years, or both”? [Bold highlights added.] Yes ___ No ___.

2. Do you understand that Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1342 (“Fictitious name or address”) declares: “Whoever, for the **purpose** of conducting, promoting, or carrying on by means of the Postal Service, any scheme or device mentioned in section 1341 of this title or any other unlawful business, **uses or assumes**, or requests to be addressed by, **any fictitious, false, or assumed title, name, or address or name other than his own proper name**, or **takes or receives from any post office or authorized depository of mail matter, any letter, postal card, package, or other mail matter addressed to any such fictitious, false, or assumed title, name, or address, or name other than his own proper name**, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both”? [Bold emphasis added.] Yes ___ No ___.

3. Does the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 understand that if it sends notices with the **return** address of a fictitious, false, or assumed title, name or address other than its own proper name, that it may have committed an act of mail fraud? Yes ___ No ___.

4. Do you understand that my proper name is “Alfred Adask”? Yes ___ No ___.

5. Do you understand that Notice CP59 was improperly addressed (Note “ROBIN HM LANE”) to:

“ALFRED ADASK

“2921 ROBIN HM LANE

“GARLAND TX 75044”? Yes ___ No ___.

6. Do you understand that the proper address of my domicile is:

“c/o 2921 Robin Hill Lane

“The City of Garland

“The County of Dallas

“The State of Texas

“The United States of America”? Yes ___ No ___.

7. Do you understand that, consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1342, I request that you address all correspondence intended to be received by me—Alfred Adask, a living man acting at arm’s length—to my proper name in care of the proper address of my domicile as follows:

“Alfred Adask

“c/o 2921 Robin Hill Lane

“The City of Garland

“The County of Dallas

“The State of Texas

“The United States of America”? Yes ___ No ___.

8. Do you understand that, consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1342, I request that you address all notices and correspondence intended to be received by me—Alfred Adask, a living man acting in the capacity of a fiduciary or other representative for an entity named “ALFRED ADASK”—as follows:

“ALFRED ADASK

“c/o Alfred Adask

“c/o 2921 Robin Hill Lane

“The City of Garland

“The County of Dallas

“The State of Texas

“The United States of America”? Yes ___ No ___.

9. Do you understand that if you normally use the name “ALFRED ADASK” as a mere alias when you intend to address me—Alfred Adask, a living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask”—I request that you stop using the improper name “ALFRED ADASK” and address me by my proper name? Yes ___ No ___.

10. Do you understand that, consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1342, I may be prohibited from receiving mail which is not directed to my **proper name** if such reception is for an unlawful purpose? Yes ___ No ___.

11. Do you understand that I am uncertain as to whether your purpose for Notice CP59 is lawful or unlawful? Yes ___ No ___.

12. Do you understand that—**for the lawful purpose of collecting evidence of possible mail fraud**—I will continue to retain whatever Notices or other documents this IRS Sender has sent or will cause to be delivered to me and/or “ALFRED ADASK” in regard to the matters referenced or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.

13. Do you understand that Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1343 (“Fraud by wire, radio, or television”) declares: “Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transmits or causes to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce, any writings, signs, signals, pictures, or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. If the violation affects a financial institution, such person shall be fined not more than \$1,000,000 or imprisoned not more than 30 years, or both”? Yes ___ No ___.

14. Do you understand that Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1346 (“Definition of ‘scheme or artifice to defraud’”) declares: “For the **purposes** of this chapter, the term "scheme or artifice to defraud" includes a scheme or artifice to **deprive another of the intangible right of honest services**”? [Bold emphasis added.] Yes ___ No ___.

15. Do you understand that governors, U.S. representatives, and state and federal judges have been removed from office and in some instances incarcerated based on violations of 18 U.S.C. 1346? Yes ___ No ___.

16. Is the IRS Sender obligated to provide “honest services of government” in the matter of Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.

17. Do you understand that Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1348 (“Securities fraud”) declares:

“Whoever knowingly executes, or attempts to execute, a scheme or artifice -

“(1) to defraud any person in connection with any security of an issuer with a class of securities registered under section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78l) or that is required to file reports under section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)); or

“(2) to obtain, by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, any money or property in connection with the purchase or sale of any security of an issuer with a class of securities registered under section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78l) or that is required to file reports under section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)); shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than 25 years, or both”?

Yes ___ No ___.

18. Do you understand that Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1349 (“Attempt and conspiracy”) declares: “Any person who attempts or conspires to commit any offense under this chapter shall be subject to the same penalties as those prescribed for the offense, the commission of which was the object of the attempt or conspiracy”? Yes ___ No ___.

H. RICO

1. Do you understand that consistent with 18 U.S.C. 1962, the elements required to prove a civil Racketeering Influenced Corrupt Organization Act (RICO) include:
 - a) that a “person” within the scope of the RICO statute
 - b) has utilized a “pattern of racketeering activity” or the proceeds thereof
 - c) to infiltrate an interstate “enterprise”
 - d) by (1) investing the income derived from the pattern of racketeering activity in the enterprise; (2) acquiring or maintaining an interest in the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity; (3) conducting the affairs of the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity; or (d) conspiring to commit any of the above acts? Yes ___ No ___.
2. Does Notice CP59 constitute or implicate “commercial activity in the United States”? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Is the “IRS” responsible for sending Notice CP59 an instrumentality of a sovereign state? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Do you understand that mail fraud can be a “predicate act” under 18 U.S.C. 1341 of the RICO laws? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Do you understand that wire fraud can be a “predicate act” under 18 U.S.C. 1343 of the RICO laws? Yes ___ No ___.

I. GENERAL INQUIRY

1. Will an official, employee or representative of an “IRS” answer my following questions? Yes ___ or No ___.
2. Will an “IRS” provide me with notice of the proper **name** of an IRS official, employee, or representative who can and will answer questions concerning said Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___.
3. Will an “IRS” provide me with the notice of the proper **address** of the IRS official, employee, or representative who can and will answer questions concerning said Notice CP59? Yes ___ or No ___.
4. Is a purpose of Notice CP59 to induce me to recognize the IRS Sender as an agency of the **government** of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Is a purpose of Notice CP59 to induce me to recognize the IRS Sender as a de facto agency of the **Government** of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
6. Is a purpose of Notice CP59 to induce me to voluntarily conduct my affairs in the singular United States? Yes ___ No ___.
7. Is a purpose of Notice CP59 to learn if I am **merged** into the singular United States? Yes ___ No ___.

8. Is a purpose of Notice CP59 to learn if I am **merged** into the “Government Of The United States”? Yes ___ No ___.
9. Would there be an obligation to **file** a tax return of the sort requested by Notice CP59 if the Social Security Account identified in said Notice CP59 were not fully funded by contributions made over 40 quarters? Yes ___ No ___.
10. Would there be an obligation to **pay** a tax of the sort requested by Notice CP59 if the Social Security Account identified in said Notice CP59 were not fully funded by contributions made over 40 quarters? Yes ___ No ___.
11. Does Notice CP59 apply to a tax imposed in a form of “money” that is a “unit of **value**”? Yes ___ No ___.
12. Does Notice CP59 apply to a tax imposed in form of “currency” that is a “unit of **account**”? Yes ___ No ___.
13. Do you understand that Section 3-401 of the Uniform Commercial Code declares:
“§ 3-401. SIGNATURE.
“(a) A person is not liable on an instrument unless (i) the person signed the instrument, or (ii) the person is represented by an agent or representative who signed the instrument and the signature is binding on the represented person under Section 3-402.
“(b) A signature may be made (i) manually or by means of a device or machine, and (ii) by the use of any name, including a trade or assumed name, or by a word, mark, or symbol executed or adopted by a person with present intention to authenticate a writing.”
- Yes ___ No ___.
14. Do you understand that Section 3.401 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code (Amended by Acts 1995, 74th Leg., ch. 921, Sec. 1, eff. Jan. 1, 1996) declares,
“Sec. 3.401. SIGNATURE. (a) A person is not liable on an instrument unless the person:
“(1) signed the instrument; or
“(2) is represented by an agent or representative who signed the instrument and the signature is binding on the represented person under Section 3.402.
“(b) A signature may be made (i) manually or by means of a device or machine, and (ii) by the use of any name, including a trade or assumed name, or by a word, mark, or symbol executed or adopted by a person with present intention to authenticate a writing”?
- Yes ___ No ___.

15. Do you have an instrument containing my authorized signature that makes me liable for any presentment alleged or implied in Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
16. Do you have an instrument containing my authorized signature that creates my obligation to file a return of the sort alleged or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
18. Do you have a bilateral contract with my authorized signature that creates my obligation of file a return of the sort alleged or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
19. Do you have a unilateral contract with my authorized signature that creates my obligation to file a return of the sort alleged or requested by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.

J. ACCOUNT UNCERTAINTY

1. Does the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 believe that an account exists between an entity named “ALFRED ADASK” and the “IRS”? Yes ___ No ___.
2. Does the purported real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 believe than an account exists between myself—the living man, Alfred Adask—and the purported real party in interest? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Was Notice CP59 sent based on the existence of an account relationship between the IRS Sender and the Notice CP59 recipient? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Does Notice CP59 imply the existence of an account relationship between a purported real party in interest and the Notice CP59 recipient? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Does sending Notice CP59 to the notice recipient imply that the notice recipient is a debtor in a account relationship? Yes ___ No ___.
6. If the Notice CP59 is retained by the notice recipient, does that retention imply that the notice recipient has voluntarily consented to enter into an account relationship as a debtor? Yes ___ No ___.
7. Do you understand that I deny that I have voluntarily consented to enter into an account relationship as a debtor in the matters alleged or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.

8. Do you have verifiable evidence that I—the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask”—have voluntarily consented to enter into an account relationship as a debtor in the matters alleged or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
9. Is the tax alleged or implied by Notice CP59 based on earnings measured in a form of “**money**” that is a “unit of **value**”? Yes ___ No ___.
10. Is the tax alleged or implied by Notice CP59 based on earnings measured in a form of “**currency**” that is a “unit of **account**”? Yes ___ No ___.
11. Is a purpose for Notice CP59 to create evidence of an account relationship sufficient to support an “**action of account**” in a court of law, court of equity or an administrative tribunal? Yes ___ No ___.
12. Do you understand that an action of account cannot be sustained in a **court of equity** without the presence of a party-fiduciary? Yes ___ No ___.
13. Do you understand that an action of account cannot be sustained in an **administrative tribunal** without the presence of a party-fiduciary? Yes ___ No ___.
14. Do you understand that I deny acting or having acted as a fiduciary relative to the matters expressed or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.

K. FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP UNCERTAINTY

1. If the Notice CP59 is retained by the notice recipient, does that retention imply that the notice recipient has voluntarily consented to enter into a relationship in the capacity of a fiduciary? Yes ___ No ___.
2. Do you understand that I have acted **at arm’s length** at all times relative to the matters alleged or implied by Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Do you believe that I, Alfred Adask, stand as fiduciary relative to the "real party in interest" in the matter of Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Do you believe that "ALFRED ADASK" stands as fiduciary relative to the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.

5. Do you believe that any person party to the matters of Notice CP59 other than “Alfred Adask” or “ALFRED ADASK” stands as fiduciary relative to the real party in interest? Yes ___ No ___.
6. Do you understand that *Black’s Law Dictionary* (8th ed. A.D. 2004) defines “account” in part as follows:
“The action of account lies where one has received goods or money for another in a **fiduciary capacity**, to ascertain and recover the balance due. I can **only be maintained** where there is **such a relationship** between the parties, as to raise an obligation to account, and where the amount due is uncertain and unliquidated.’ Benjamin J. Shipman, Handbook of Common Law Pleading, § 56, at 144 (Henry Winthrop Ballantine ed. 3d ed. 1923)” [Emphasis added.] Yes ___ No ___.
7. Was Notice CP59 sent based on the presumption that I am a fiduciary for the government of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
8. Was Notice CP59 sent based on the presumption that I am a fiduciary for the Government of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
9. Was Notice CP59 sent based on the presumption that I am a fiduciary for an implied charitable trust? Yes ___ No ___.

L. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST UNCERTAINTY

1. Do you understand that I cannot knowingly, properly and prudently respond to Notice CP59 until I understand the nature, identity and capacity of the real party in interest? Yes ___ No ___.
2. Do you understand that if Notice CP59 were sent by a real party in interest acting in a **proprietary** capacity, my response may be completely different from my response to same real party in interest acting in a **sovereign** capacity? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Do you understand that it would be impossible for me to knowingly, prudently, intelligently and voluntarily answer, join issue, or traverse in the matter of Notice CP59 until I have exact notice of the character in which the real party in interest is proceeding? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Do you understand that the 4th Revised (A.D. 1968), 5th (A.D. 1979) and 6th (A.D. 1990) editions of *Black’s Law Dictionary* defined “United States” as: “This term has several meanings. It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in family of nations, it may designate territory over which sovereignty of United States extends, or it may be collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution. *Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt*, U.S. Ohio, 324 U.S. 652, 65 S.Ct. 870, 880, 89 L.E.D. 1252”? Yes ___ No ___.

5. Do you understand that the term “United States” is no longer defined in the 7th (A.D. 1999) and 8th (A.D. 2004) editions of *Black’s Law Dictionary*? Yes ___ No ___.
6. Do any of the several “United States” as defined by the Supreme Court of the United States in the *Hooven & Allison v Evatt* case still exist? Yes ___ No ___.
7. Do you understand that the term “United States of America” is defined by the 7th (A.D. 1999) and 8th (A.D. 2004) editions of *Black’s Law Dictionary* as: “A federal republic formed after the War of Independence and made up of 48 conterminous states, plus the state of Alaska and the District of Columbia in North America, plus the state of Hawaii in the Pacific.—Abbr. USA”? Yes ___ No ___.
8. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 the real party in interest in this matter? Yes ___ No ___.
9. Does the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 **represent** the real party in interest in this matter? Yes ___ No ___.
10. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the perpetual Union created by the Articles of Confederation of A.D. 1787 and styled “The United States of America”? Yes ___ No ___.
11. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the **several** “United States” referenced in the body of The Constitution of the United States established by the people of said perpetual Union in or about A.D. 1789?
12. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the **several** “United States” implicitly referenced in the 13th Article of Amendment adopted on or about December 18th, A.D. 1865 to The Constitution of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
13. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the **singular** “United States” referenced in the 14th Article of Amendment adopted in or about July 28th, A.D. 1868 to The Constitution of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
14. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 an entity whose proper name is “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA”? Yes ___ No ___.
15. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the entity named “GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES” which, according to Dunn & Bradstreet (see, https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Barack+Obama&state=DC&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb#goTop) is a “company” whose address is “THE U S CAPITOL, WASHINGTON, DC” and is “Also Traded as BARACK H OBAMA”? Yes ___ No ___.

16. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the “Government Of The United States” which is currently described at Manta.com at <http://www.manta.com/company/mmg5dxg> (based on information from Dunn & Bradstreet) as having the following characteristics: Contact Phone “(202) 224-3121; URL www.firstgov.gov; Business Category “United States Federal Government in Washington, DC”; Industry (SIC) “General Government, NEC”; Business Information “This company profiles is for the private company Government of the United States headquarters, located in Washington, DC. U S Government’s line of business is united states federal government.”; Company Name “Government Of The United States”; Address “The U S Capitol, Washington, DC 20515-0001; Alt Business Name “U S Government”; Location Type “Headquarters”; Est. # of Employees (as of April 11th, A.D. 2009) “2,717,080”; Est. Empl. At Loc (as of April 11th, A.D. 2009) “3”; Year Started “1787”; State of Incorp “no entry”; Contact’s Name “Barack H Obama”; Contact’s Title “President”; NAICS “Other General Government Support”? (See also, <http://www.manta.com/mb?search=Government+Of+The+United+States>) Yes ___ No ___.
17. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the non-profit Delaware corporation reported by the Delaware Secretary of State to be named “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, INC.” with a date of incorporation of “4/19/89” and File No. is “2193946”? Yes ___ No ___.
18. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the “EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES” which Dunn & Bradstreet describes at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Barack+Obama&state=DC&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb#goTop as a “company” whose address is “1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW, WASHINGTON, DC” and is “Also Traded as BARACK H OBAMA”? Yes ___ No ___.
19. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 the “EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT” which Dunn & Bradstreet describes at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Barack+Obama&state=DC&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb#goTop as a “company” whose address is “1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW, WASHINGTON, DC” and is “Also Traded as BARACK H OBAMA”? Yes ___ No ___.
30. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 an entity commonly known as the “International Monetary Fund”? Yes ___ No ___.
21. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 an entity commonly known as the “United Nations”? Yes ___ No ___.

22. Is the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 an entity commonly known as the “Federal Reserve System”? Yes ___ No ___.
23. Does the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 act in this matter in a sovereign capacity? Yes ___
No ___
24. Does the real party in interest in the matter of Notice CP59 act in this matter in a proprietary capacity? Yes ___
No ___.
25. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 incorporated? Yes ___ No ___.
26. Is there a charter or other instrument that authorizes or memorializes the incorporation of the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
27. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 an inchoate state? Yes ___ No ___.
28. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 an unincorporated association? Yes ___ No ___.
29. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 an express charitable trust? Yes ___ No ___.
30. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 an implied charitable trust? Yes ___ No ___.
31. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 a perpetuity? Yes ___ No ___.
32. Is the real party in interest responsible for sending Notice CP59 registered to do business or otherwise operate within the borders and jurisdiction of The State of Texas—a member-State of the perpetual Union styled “The United States of America”? Yes ___ No ___.
33. Is the "real party in interest" in the matter of CP59 a **collective**? Yes ___ No ___.
34. Is the "real party in interest" in the matter of CP59 a **democracy**? Yes ___ No ___.
35. Is the "real party in interest" in the matter of CP59 an **economy**? Yes ___ No ___.
36. Is the "real party in interest" in the matter of CP59 an **unincorporated association**? Yes ___ No ___.

M. NOTICE SENDER UNCERTAINTY

1. Do you understand that Notice CP59 was unsigned? Yes ___ No ___.
2. Do you understand that if the source of Notice CP59 was only a computer, there is no specified living source of said Notice CP59 to whom I can directly address my inquiries concerning Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Do you understand that without a named individual as the source of Notice CP59, I cannot know which official, employee or agent of an “IRS” is responsible for sending Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Do you understand that without a named individual as the source of Notice CP59, I cannot know to whom I should address my written inquiries concerning Notice CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Do you understand that if this Notice CP59 was initiated by, or otherwise includes the services of, a “special agent” of an IRS that this Notice CP59’s requests for information might be intended for the purpose of conducting a criminal investigation? Yes ___ No ___.
6. Do you understand that without a named individual as the source of Notice CP59, I cannot know if this matter was initiated by a “special agent”? Yes ___ No ___.
7. Do you understand that without the name and title of an individual as the source of Notice CP59, I cannot know if this matter implicates a criminal investigation? Yes ___ No ___.
8. Do you understand that “fraud” has been defined in part by *Black’s Law Dictionary*, 8th edition (A.D. 2004) as: “1. A knowing misrepresentation of the truth or concealment of a material fact to induce another to act to his or her detriment”? [Emphasis added.] Yes ___ No ___.
9. Does failure by the IRS Sender to specify the name and capacity of the source of said Notice CP59 conceal a material fact? Yes ___ No ___.
10. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 an “Equal Opportunity Employer”? Yes ___ No ___.
11. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 an employer? Yes ___ No ___.
12. Does the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 have employees? Yes ___ No ___.
13. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 an employee? Yes ___ No ___.
14. Does the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 have an Employer Identification Number (EIN)? Yes ___ No ___

15. Does the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 have a Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)? Yes ___ No ___.
16. Do you understand that when the Dunn & Bradstreet data base of corporations is searched for “Internal Revenue Service,” the result at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Internal+Revenue+Service&state=US&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb*home*gws*lookup#goTop includes at least 99 corporate entities operating under as, or associated with, the names “I.R.S.” and/or “Internal Revenue Service”? Yes ___ No ___.
17. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 listed among the 99 corporate entities listed by Dunn & Bradstreet at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Internal+Revenue+Service&state=US&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb*home*gws*lookup#goTop? Yes ___ No ___.
18. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 a **subsidiary** for one of the 99 corporate entities listed by Dunn & Bradstreet at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Internal+Revenue+Service&state=US&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb*home*gws*lookup#goTop? Yes ___ No ___.
19. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 the **principal** of one or more of the 99 corporate entities listed by Dunn & Bradstreet at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Internal+Revenue+Service&state=US&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb*home*gws*lookup#goTop? Yes ___ No ___.
20. Is the IRS Sender of Notice CP59 an independent administrative agency? Yes ___ No ___.
21. Is the “IRS” entity responsible for sending said Notice CP59 an administrative agency of the Executive Branch of the government of the United States created by The Constitution of the United States first established by the people of The United States of America in or about A.D. 1789? Yes ___ No ___.
22. Is the “IRS” entity responsible for sending said Notice CP59 an administrative agency of the “private company” listed by Dunn & Bradstreet at https://smallbusiness.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/IballValidationCmd?storeId=10001&catalogId=70001&busName=Barack+Obama&state=DC&country=US&cm_mmc=dnb#goTop as “GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES also traded as BARACK H OBAMA” with the address “THE US CAPITOL WASHINGTON DC”? Yes ___ No ___.

23. Is the “IRS” that sent Notice CP59 part of the “4th branch of government” recognized as the foundation of administrative law “in the United States”? Yes ___ No ___.
24. Is the “IRS” responsible for sending Notice CP59 acting in a **sovereign** capacity? Yes ___ No ___.
25. Is the “IRS” responsible for sending Notice CP59 acting in a **proprietary** capacity? Yes ___ No ___.
26. Is the “IRS” that sent Notice CP59 with return address “IRS Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service PO BOX 149338 AUSTIN, TX 78714-9338 the **same** “IRS” as is identified at <http://www.irs.gov> as “Internal Revenue Service, United States Department of the Treasury” and whose current commissioner is named “Douglas Shulman”? [Emphasis added.] Yes ___ No ___.
27. Is the “IRS” that sent Notice CP59 with return address “IRS Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service PO BOX 149338 AUSTIN, TX 78714-9338 an agent for the “IRS” identified at <http://www.irs.gov> as “Internal Revenue Service, United States Department of the Treasury” and whose current commissioner is named “Douglas Shulman”? [Emphasis added.] Yes ___ No ___.
28. Do you understand that I cannot properly respond to your Notice CP59 until I understand the sender’s nature, identity and capacity? Yes ___ No ___.

N. NOTICE RECIPIENT UNCERTAINTY

1. Do you understand that I am a living man, made in the image of our Father YHWH Elohiym as per Genesis 1:26-28 of the Bible? Yes ___ No ___
2. Do you understand that, consistent with *The unanimous Declaration of the thirteen united States of America* of July 4th, A.D. 1776 (a/k/a “Declaration of Independence”), I am a man endowed by Creator with certain unalienable Rights? Yes ___ No ___.
3. Do you understand that my proper name is “Alfred Adask”? Yes ___ No ___.
4. Do you understand that I am one of the people of The State of Texas? Yes ___ No ___.
5. Do you understand that I, Alfred Adask, am a beneficiary of the express charitable trust styled “The Constitution of The States of Texas” that was originally adopted by the people of The State of Texas on or about February 15, A.D. 1876? Yes ___ No ___.
6. Do you understand that I, Alfred Adask, am one of the people of the several “United States”? Yes ___ No ___.
7. Do you understand that I, Alfred Adask, am a beneficiary of the express charitable trust styled “The Constitution of the United States” first established by the people of the perpetual Union in or about A.D. 1789? Yes ___ No ___.

8. Do you **believe** that I, Alfred Adask, am a fiduciary, agent or representative for the entity “ALFRED ADASK” to which Notice CP59 was addressed? Yes ___ No ___.
9. Do you **assert** that I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask,” am a fiduciary, agent or representative for the entity “ALFRED ADASK” to which your Notice CP59 was addressed? Yes ___ No ___.
10. Was your Notice CP59 intended to be received by the living man “Alfred Adask” acting at arm’s length? Yes ___ No ___.
11. Was your Notice CP59 intended to be received by the living man “Alfred Adask” acting as a fiduciary or other representative on behalf of an entity named “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
12. Do you understand that I have not knowingly and voluntarily consented to act as fiduciary for an entity named “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
13. Do you have verifiable evidence that I have knowingly and voluntarily consented to act as a fiduciary or other representative for an entity named “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
14. Do you understand that I am holding your Notice CP59 until it is clear to me whether that notice was addressed to me, a living man acting at arm’s length, or to some other entity? Yes ___ No ___.
15. Do you understand that the I.R.S. could eliminate this “recipient uncertainty” by addressing its future notices intended for me (Alfred Adask, a living man acting at arm’s length) to: “Alfred Adask” (rather than “ALFRED ADASK”) and addressing those notices intended for me (Alfred Adask, a living man acting as fiduciary for the entity named “ALFRED ADASK”) to: “Alfred Adask, fiduciary for ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
16. Do you understand that “fraud” has been defined in part by *Black’s Law Dictionary*, 8th edition (A.D. 2004) as: “1. A knowing misrepresentation of the truth or concealment of a material fact to induce another to act to his or her detriment”? Yes ___ No ___.
17. Is the failure to clearly identify the recipient for Notice CP59 intended to conceal a material fact and thereby induce the notice recipient to act to his or its detriment? Yes ___ No ___.
18. Does the failure to clearly identify the Notice CP59 recipient’s capacity conceal a material fact and thereby induce the notice recipient to act to his or its detriment? Yes ___ No ___.
19. Do you **believe** that I, Alfred Adask, am surety for “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
20. Do you **assert** that I, Alfred Adask, am surety for “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
21. Do you have verifiable evidence that I, Alfred Adask, am surety for “ALFRED ADASK”?
22. Do you have verifiable evidence that I, Alfred Adask, have voluntarily consented to act as surety for “ALFRED ADASK”?
23. Do you believe that I, the living man who proper name is “Alfred Adask” have been conducting my affairs in one or more of the “states” defined at 28 USC 81-131? Yes ___ No ___?
24. Do you **believe** that “ALFRED ADASK” is a “taxpayer”? Yes ___ No ___.
25. So you **assert** that “ALFRED ADASK” is a “taxpayer”? Yes ___ No ___.
26. Do you have verifiable evidence that “ALFRED ADASK” is a taxpayer”? Yes ___ No ___.
27. Do you **believe** that “ALFRED ADASK” is a security that can be “traded”? Yes ___ No ___.

28. Do you **assert** that “ALFRED ADASK” is a human resource? Yes ___ No ___.
29. Do you **believe** that I am a human resource? Yes ___ No ___.
30. Do you **believe** that I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask,” am a “taxpayer”? Yes ___ No ___.
31. Do you **assert** that I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask” am a “taxpayer”? Yes ___ No ___.
32. Do you have verifiable evidence that “Alfred Adask” is a “taxpayer”? Yes ___ No ___?
33. Do you **believe** that the names “ALFRED ADASK” and “Alfred Adask” signify the same living man? Yes ___ No ___.
34. Do you **assert** that the names “ALFRED ADASK” and “Alfred Adask” signify the same living man? Yes ___ No ___.
35. Do you have verifiable evidence that the names “ALFRED ADASK” and “Alfred Adask” signify the same entity? Yes ___ No ___?
36. Do you have verifiable evidence that the names “ALFRED ADASK” and “Alfred Adask” signify the same entity acting in the same capacity? Yes ___ No ___?
37. Do you **believe** that I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask,” have voluntarily consented to act as fiduciary or other representative for the entity named “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
38. Do you **assert** that I, the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask,” have voluntarily consented to act as fiduciary or other representative for the entity named “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
39. Does any “IRS” have verifiable evidence that I, Alfred Adask, have voluntarily consented to act as fiduciary or other representative for an entity named “ALFRED ADASK”? Yes ___ No ___.
40. Does “ALFRED ADASK” have an interest in the matters of CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
41. Do you believe that I have an interest in the matters of CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
42. In the matter of CP59, are the interests of “ALFRED ADASK” identical to those of the living man whose proper name is “Alfred Adask”? Yes ___ No ___.
43. Do you understand that all of my activities in relation to Notice CP59 take place within the **jurisdiction** of The State of Texas—a member-State of the perpetual Union styled “The United States of America”? Yes ___ No ___.
44. Do you believe that I am an **employee** of the Government of the United States? Yes ___ No ___.
45. Do you believe that I am a fiduciary obligated to act in the best interests of the **collective**? Yes ___ No ___.
46. Do you believe that I am a fiduciary obligated to act in the best interest of the **democracy**? Yes ___ No ___.
47. Do you understand that I have not knowing, intentionally and voluntarily consented to act as fiduciary for any person or entity associated with the matter of CP59? Yes ___ No ___.
48. Do you believe that I am a resident of a territory of the singular United States? Yes ___ No ___.
49. Do you understand that I am domiciled within the borders of The State of Texas? Yes ___ No ___.

O. RESERVATION OF MY RIGHT OF INQUIRY

Depending on the answers to my previous questions and my continuing duty of due diligence and right to inquire, I have and reserve my right to ask additional questions concerning the individual notices associated with your Notice CP59 regarding your 1) ENVELOPE NOTICE; 2) RETURN ENVELOPE NOTICE; 3) UNSIGNED PRIVACY ACT NOTICE #609; 4) UNSIGNED I.R.S. Publication 1, “Your Rights as a Taxpayer” NOTICE; 5) UNSIGNED I.R.S. NOTICE # CP59, page 1 “Mailing Addresses”; 6) UNSIGNED I.R.S. NOTICE # CP59, Pages 2-3 “Request for Your Tax Return”; 7) UNSIGNED I.R.S. NOTICE # CP59, Pages 4-5 “Information About Your Tax Return”

Respectfully submitted **at arm’s length** from on the earth within the borders and jurisdiction of The State of Texas—a member-State of the perpetual Union styled “The United States of America”, on the **19th day** of the **Sixth month**, in the year of our Lord 2009, with reservation of all of my God-given, unalienable rights as a man created in the image of our Father YHWH Elohiym and endowed by my Creator with certain unalienable Rights.

autograph: _____

True, proper name “Alfred Adask” a/k/a “ALFRED ADASK”

c/o 2921 Robin Hill Lane

The City of Garland

The County of Dallas

The State of Texas

The United States of America